

METU - NCC

LINEAR ALGEBRA MIDTERM 2							
Code : <i>MAT 260</i>	Last Name:			Student No.:			
Acad. Year: <i>2012-2013</i>	Name :		Department:			Section:	
Semester : <i>Spring</i>	Signature :						
Date : <i>24.04.2013</i>	6 QUESTIONS ON 4 PAGES						
Time : <i>17:40</i>	TOTAL 100 POINTS						
Duration : <i>100 min</i>							
1. (15)	2. (10)	3. (20)	4. (15)	5. (15)	6. (25)	Bonus	

1. (15pts) Find a basis for $W = \{p \in \mathcal{P}_3(\mathbb{R}) \mid p(2) = p(5) = 0\}$.

$$W = \{a_0 + a_1x + a_2x^2 + a_3x^3 \mid \begin{cases} a_0 + a_1 \cdot 2 + a_2 \cdot 4 + a_3 \cdot 8 = 0 \\ a_0 + a_1 \cdot 5 + a_2 \cdot 25 + a_3 \cdot 125 = 0 \end{cases} \}$$

Fast solution:

$$\begin{aligned} W &= \{(x-2)(x-5)(a_0 + a_1x)\} \\ &= \{a_0(x-2)(x-5) + a_1x(x-2)(x-5)\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Basis} = \{(x-2)(x-5), x(x-2)(x-5)\}$$

$$\begin{aligned} 3a_1 + 21a_2 + 117a_3 &= 0 \\ \hookrightarrow a_1 &= -7a_2 - 39a_3 \end{aligned}$$

plug into equation:

$$a_0 + (-7a_2 - 39a_3) \cdot 2 + 4a_2 + 8a_3 = 0$$

$$\hookrightarrow a_0 = 10a_2 + 70a_3$$

$$W = \{a_0 + a_1x + a_2x^2 + a_3x^3 \mid \begin{cases} a_0 = 10a_2 + 70a_3 \\ a_1 = -7a_2 - 39a_3 \end{cases} \}$$

$$= \{(10a_2 + 70a_3) + (-7a_2 - 39a_3)x + a_2x^2 + a_3x^3\}$$

$$\text{Basis} = \{10 - 7x + x^2, 70 - 39x + x^3\}$$

2. (10pts) Show that if $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ is a linearly independent set in V , then $\dim(V) \geq n$.

Suppose $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\} \subset V$ is linearly independent.

By the basis extension theorem, we can extend to

$\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n, w_1, \dots, w_m\}$ a basis of V .

Then $\dim(V) = n + m \geq n$. □

3. (12+13pts) In the parts below, $T: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ is defined by $T(x, y) = (ax + by, cx + dy)$, where a, b, c, d are fixed real numbers.

(A) Show that T is a linear transformation.

$$\begin{aligned}
 T((x_1, y_1) + (x_2, y_2)) &= T(x_1 + x_2, y_1 + y_2) \\
 &= (a(x_1 + x_2) + b(y_1 + y_2), c(x_1 + x_2) + d(y_1 + y_2)) \\
 &= ((ax_1 + by_1) + (ax_2 + by_2), (cx_1 + dy_1) + (cx_2 + dy_2)) \\
 &= (ax_1 + by_1, cx_1 + dy_1) + (ax_2 + by_2, cx_2 + dy_2) \\
 &= T(x_1, y_1) + T(x_2, y_2)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 T(k \cdot (x, y)) &= T(kx, ky) \\
 &= (akx + bky, ckx + dky) \\
 &= k(ax + by, cx + dy) \\
 &= k \cdot T(x, y)
 \end{aligned}$$

(B) Show that T is an isomorphism if and only if $ad \neq bc$.

If $ad \neq bc$ then T^{-1} is

$$\begin{aligned}
 T^{-1} \circ S(x, y) &= \frac{1}{ad - bc} (dx - by, -cx + ay) \\
 \rightarrow T \circ S(x, y) &= \frac{1}{ad - bc} T(dx - by, -cx + ay) \\
 &= \frac{1}{ad - bc} (a(dx - by) + b(-cx + ay), c(dx - by) + d(-cx + ay)) \\
 &= \frac{1}{ad - bc} ((ad - bc)x, (ad - bc)y) = (x, y) \\
 \rightarrow S \circ T(x, y) &= S(ax + by, cx + dy) \\
 &= \frac{1}{ad - bc} (d(ax + by) - b(cx + dy), -c(ax + by) + a(cx + dy)) \\
 &= \frac{1}{ad - bc} ((ad - bc)x, (ad - bc)y) = (x, y)
 \end{aligned}$$

Note that T is an isomorphism if and only if $\ker(T) = \{0\}$.

Suppose $(x, y) \in \ker(T)$ then $(0, 0) = T(x, y) = (ax + by, cx + dy)$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\begin{pmatrix} 0 = ax + by \\ 0 = cx + dy \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} c \\ -a \end{pmatrix} \\
 &\quad \underline{-} \\
 &\quad 0 = (bc - ad)y \quad \rightarrow \begin{cases} y = 0 \text{ if } ad - bc \neq 0 \\ \Rightarrow x = 0 \end{cases}
 \end{aligned}$$

So T is an isomorphism if and only if $ad - bc \neq 0$.

Note that this could also be done with matrices

4. (5+10pts) The parts below use the linear transformation $T: \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\{s, t\})$ with $T(1, 0, 2) = \chi_s$ and $T(0, 2, 1) = \chi_t$ and $T(0, 1, 0) = \chi_s + \chi_t$.

(A) What are the dimensions of $\text{im}(T)$ and $\text{ker}(T)$? (Justify your answer.)

Hint: this can be answered without any serious computation.

$\chi_s, \chi_t \in \text{im}(T)$. So $\underline{2} = \dim(\text{Span}\{\chi_s, \chi_t\}) \leq \underline{\dim(\text{im}(T))} \leq \dim(\text{Fun}(\{s, t\})) = \underline{2}$
 $\therefore \dim(\text{im}(T)) = 2$.

Thus $\dim(\text{ker}(T)) = \dim(\mathbb{R}^3) - \dim(\text{im}(T)) = 3 - 2 = 1$.

(B) $T(1, 0, 0)$ is a function, $T(1, 0, 0): \{s, t\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. What is the value of $(T(1, 0, 0))(s)$?

$$(1, 0, 0) = a(1, 0, 2) + b(0, 2, 1) + c(0, 1, 0)$$

$$\hookrightarrow \begin{cases} 1 = a & a = 1 \\ 0 = 2b + c & \implies b = -2 \\ 0 = 2a + b & c = 4 \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{aligned} T(1, 0, 0) &= T(1, 0, 2) - 2T(0, 2, 1) + 4T(0, 1, 0) \\ &= \chi_s - 2\chi_t + 4(\chi_s + \chi_t) \end{aligned}$$

$$(T(1, 0, 0))(s) = 1 - 2 \cdot 0 + 4(1 + 0) = 5$$

5. (10+5pts) In the parts below T is a linear transformation $T: V \rightarrow V$ and $T^2 = T \circ T$.

(A) Show that $\text{ker}(T) = \{0\}$ if and only if $\text{ker}(T^2) = \{0\}$.

(\implies) If $\text{ker}(T) = \{0\}$ then $\text{im}(T) = V$ (because $\dim(\text{im}(T)) = \dim(V) - 0$)

(*) Thus $\text{im}(T \circ T) = V$. So $\text{ker}(T \circ T) = \{0\}$.

(Proof of (*):
 If $y \in V$ then there is $w \in V$ with $T(w) = y$ because $\text{im}(T) = V$.
 Similarly there is $x \in V$ with $T(x) = w$. $T(T(x)) = y$.

(\impliedby) Note that if $y \in \text{ker}(T)$ then $T(T(y)) = T(0) = 0$
 So $\text{ker}(T) \subset \text{ker}(T^2)$. Thus if $\text{ker}(T^2) = \{0\}$
 then $\text{ker}(T) = \{0\}$. ▣

(B) Is it always true that $\text{ker}(T) = \text{ker}(T^2)$? (Give proof or counter-example.)

No. If T is nilpotent (for example) this will usually not be true.... say

$$T(x, y) = (0, x) \longrightarrow \begin{aligned} \text{ker}(T) &= \text{Span}\{(0, 1)\} \\ \text{ker}(T^2) &= \mathbb{R}^2 \end{aligned}$$

6. (16+9pts) Let $T: \mathbb{R}^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^4$ be the linear transformation given by

$$T(x, y, z, w) = (w, z, 0, y).$$

(A) Find the matrices $[T]$ and $[T \circ T]$ (with respect to the standard basis for \mathbb{R}^4).

$$[T] = \begin{bmatrix} | & | & | & | \\ T(1,0,0,0) & T(0,1,0,0) & T(0,0,1,0) & T(0,0,0,1) \\ | & | & | & | \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} T(1,0,0,0) = (0,0,0,0) \\ T(0,1,0,0) = (0,0,0,1) \\ T(0,0,1,0) = (0,1,0,0) \\ T(0,0,0,1) = (1,0,0,0) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$[T \circ T] = \begin{bmatrix} | & | & | & | \\ T^2(1,0,0,0) & T^2(0,1,0,0) & T^2(0,0,1,0) & T^2(0,0,0,1) \\ | & | & | & | \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} T^2(x,y,z,w) \\ \parallel \\ T(w,z,0,y) \\ \parallel \\ (y,0,0,z) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

(B) Is the matrix $[T]$ idempotent? Nilpotent? Singular? Explain your answer for each question.

• $[T]$ is not idempotent, because $[T^2] = [T]^2 \neq [T]$.

• $[T]$ is nilpotent, because

$$\begin{aligned} T(x,y,z,w) &= (w, z, 0, y) \\ T^2(x,y,z,w) &= (y, 0, 0, z) \\ T^3(x,y,z,w) &= (z, 0, 0, 0) \\ T^4(x,y,z,w) &= (0, 0, 0, 0) \end{aligned}$$

$\begin{matrix} \curvearrowright T \\ \curvearrowright T \\ \curvearrowright T \\ \curvearrowright T \end{matrix}$

so $[T]^4 = \underline{0}$

• $[T]$ is singular, because $[T]$ is nilpotent
(nilpotent matrices cannot have inverse)

BONUS

If V is not finite dimensional, then $V^* = \mathcal{L}(V, \mathbb{R})$ is not isomorphic to V . Why not?

If $\{b_1, \dots\}$ is a basis for V then

$$V = \text{Span}\{b_1, \dots, b_n\} = \{\text{finite sums of } b_i\}$$

But V^* has infinite sums of b_i^* .

→ Actually things are a bit more complicated, but this is the idea.